

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,931	03/03/2000	Reiner L. Gentz	PF454P1	7173
22195 7	22195 7590 05/09/2005		EXAMINER	
HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. 14200 SHADY GROVE ROAD			O HARA, EILEEN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCKVILLE,	MD 20850		1646	

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		09/518,931	GENTZ ET AL.			
	omeen carmany	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Eileen O'Hara	1646			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ,				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under \boldsymbol{E}	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims		·			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>24-88 and 102-131</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) 24-88 and 102-131 are subject to res	triction and/or election requiremen	nt.			
Application Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	or .				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
_	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aten Application (FTO-192)			
	ndemark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/518,931 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Prosecution is reopened due to new rejections under 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 112.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 24-88 and 102-131 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 117-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification describes a polypeptide sequence consisting of SEQ ID NO: 2, which is shown to have the following activities: binding FasL and AIM-II. However, the claims as written include polypeptides comprising fragments and homologues, encompass polypeptides that vary substantially in length and also in amino acid composition. The instant disclosure of a single polypeptide, that of SEQ ID NO: 2 with the instantly disclosed specific activities, does not adequately support the scope of the claimed genus, which encompasses a substantial variety of subgenera. A genus claim may be supported by a representative number of species as set forth in *Regents of the University of California v Eli Lilly & Co*, 119F3d 1559, 1569, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997), which states:

"To fulfill the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe an invention and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the

Application/Control Number: 09/518,931

Art Unit: 1646

inventor invented the claimed invention". <u>Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc.</u>, 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (1997); <u>In re Gosteli</u>, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012, 10 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1980) ("[T]he description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [the inventor] invented what is claimed.") Thus, an applicant complies with the written description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." <u>Lockwood</u>, 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d at 1966.

An adequate written description of a DNA, such as the cDNA of the recombinant plasmids and microorganisms of the '525 patent, "requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties," not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention. Fiers v. Revel, 984 F.2d 1164, 1171, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, "an adequate written description of a DNA requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it; what is required is a description of the DNA itself." Id at 1170, 25 USPQ2d at 1606."

A description of a genus of cDNAs may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of cDNAs, defined by nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the genus, or of a recitation of structural features common to the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. The instant specification discloses, however, a single isolated polypeptide sequence SEQ ID NO: 2 with the disclosed activities. Receptor function, however, cannot be reliably predicted from protein sequence homology. For example, Transforming Growth Factor (TGF-beta) Family OP-1 induces metanephrongenesis whereas closely related TGF-beta family members-BMP-2 and TGF-beta1-have no effect on metanephrogenesis under identical conditions (Vukicevic et al., 1996, PNAS USA 93:9021-9026). Platelet-derived Growth Factor (PDGF) Family VEGF, a member of the PDGF family, is mitogenic for vascular

Application/Control Number: 09/518,931 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

endothelial cells but not for vascular smooth muscle cells while PDGF is mitogenic for vascular smooth muscle cells but not for vascular endothelial cells (Tischer et al., U.S. Patent 5,194,596, column 2, line 46 to column 3, line 2). Finally, vertebrate growth hormone of 198 amino acids becomes an antagonist (inhibitor of growth) when a single amino acid is changed (Kopchick et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,350,836). Even 99% homology does not allow predictability in this instance. Given the unpredictability of homology comparisons, and the fact that the specification fails to provide objective evidence that the additional sequences are indeed species of the claimed genus it cannot be established that a representative number of species have been disclosed to support the genus claim. No activity is set forth for the additional sequences. The instantly claimed genus is not so limited and the prior art does not provide compensatory structural or correlative teachings to enable one of skill to identify the polypeptides encompassed.

Priority Determination

35 U.S.C. § 120 states that:

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, which is filed by an inventor or inventors named in the previously filed application shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application.

35 U.S.C. § 119(e) states that:

An application for patent filed under section 111(a) or section 363 of this title for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in a provisional application filed under section 111(b) of this title, by an inventor or inventors named in the provisional application, shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the provisional application filed under section 111(b) of this title, if the application for patent filed under section 111(a) or section 363 of this title is filed not later than 12 months after the date on which the provisional application was filed and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the provisional application.

Application/Control Number: 09/518,931 Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

4. Applicant is advised that the instant application can only receive benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or § 119(e) from an earlier application which meets the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, with respect to the now claimed invention. Because 09/006,352 and 60/035,496 do not have disclosures that support a specific and substantial utility, they do not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, and do not meet those requirements and, therefore, are unavailable under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or § 119(e). Since this application claims priority to 60/121,774, which discloses a specific and substantial utility, the effective priority date of the instant application is considered to be the filing date of 60/121,774, March 4, 1999.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 24-88 and 102-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ashkenazi et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,764,679, effective priority date Sept. 18, 1998.

Claims 24-88 and 102-131 encompass polypeptides comprising SEQ ID NO: 2 which may be glycosylated or pegylated, compositions thereof which may comprise a liposome, and fusion proteins thereof comprising Fc domain of immunoglobulin.

Art Unit: 1646

Ashkenazi et al. discloses a protein (SEQ ID NO: 1) that is identical to the protein of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the instant invention. Ashkenazi et al. also teach that the protein may be glycosylated (column 13, lines 48-57), pegylated (column 10, lines 28-33), compositions thereof which may comprise a liposome (column 20, lines 39-55) and fusion proteins thereof comprising Fc domain of immunoglobulin (column 10, lines 34-54. Therefore Ashkenazi et al. anticipates the claims.

Conclusion

6. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached at (571) 272-0829.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 09/518,931

Art Unit: 1646

·. ·..

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

ENLEEN B. O'MARA
PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7